Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/781,572
 ZAKLIKA ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Gregory M. Desire
 2624

	Gregory M. Desire	2624	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Gregory M. Desire.	(3)		
(2) Applicant's representative Eric Drennan.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 18 November 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	•]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-9,20-34 and 45-51</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hamburg</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In the office action mailed 10/23/08 the heading for a rejection was omitted for claims 1-9, 20-34 and 45-51. Applicant's representative requested another action to be sent to include a heading. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Gregory M. Desire/	i e		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624			